



1

MONTANA OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

ACCREDITATION PROFICIENCY-BASED LEARNING MODEL

PRESENTED BY MARIE JUDISCH, SENIOR MANAGER OF TEACHING AND LEARNING



opi.mt.gov

TODAY'S FOCUS

Objective: Examine district responsibilities under ARM 10.55.603 (1, 4c, d)

- Proficiency-Based Learning Models
- Curriculum Review and Alignment to Content Standards
- Inclusion of American Indians' Culturally Relevant

Instructional Materials and Resources



ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

Objective: Examine district responsibilities under ARM 10.55.603 (1, 4c, d)

- Understanding and Implementing Administrative Rule of Montana, 10.55.603 (1, 4c, d)
 - (1) Local school districts shall develop and implement a proficiency-based learning model that includes curriculum aligned to all content standards and appropriate learning progressions.
 - (4) For content standards in all program areas pursuant to the requirements of ARM 10.55.602, school districts shall:
 - (c) review materials and resources necessary for implementation of the curriculum and assessment at least every five years, or consistent with the state's standards revision schedule that are consistent with the goals of the integrated strategic action plan pursuant to ARM 10.55.601; and
 - (d) review curricula and instructional materials and resources to ensure the inclusion of the distinct and unique cultural heritages and contemporary portrayal of American Indians.



INTEGRATED STRATEGIC ACTION PLAN

"Does the district have an **implemented proficiency-based learning model** that was cooperatively developed and has evidence that there is a process to review the curriculum to ensure the inclusion of the distinct and unique cultural heritages and contemporary portrayal of American Indians and has a schedule for revising curriculum standards at least every five years or consistent with the state's standards revision schedule?

Key Requirements:

- Cooperatively developed with educators, administrators, and community stakeholders
- Documented process for reviewing curriculum, instructional materials, and resources to ensure the inclusion of the distinct and unique cultural heritages and contemporary portrayal of American Indians
- Schedule for revising curriculum standards every 5 years or consistent with the state revision schedule



ACCREDITATION RUBRIC

Page 8 of Criterion Reference Guide

lacksquare

| Guiding Question: | | Advice (2) | Regular MD (3) | Regular (4) |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| | District has no | The district | The district | The district provided |
| Does the district have | evidence of a | provided | provided evidence: | evidence of: |
| an implemented | cooperatively | evidence: | □ A cooperatively | A cooperatively |
| proficiency-based | developed and | A | developed and | developed and implemented |
| earning model that | implemented | cooperatively | implemented | proficiency-based learning |
| was cooperatively | proficiency-based | developed and | proficiency-based | model |
| developed and has | learning model | implemented | learning model | AND |
| evidence that there is a | | proficiency-based | AND | |
| process to review the | | learning model | | A process for reviewing |
| curriculum to ensure | | | A process for | curriculum, instructional |
| he inclusion of the | | | reviewing | materials, and resources to |
| distinct and unique | | | curriculum, | ensure the inclusion of the |
| cultural heritages and | | | instructional | distinct and unique cultural |
| contemporary | | | materials, and | heritages and contemporary |
| oortrayal of American | | | resources to ensure | portrayal of American |
| ndians and has a | | | the inclusion of the | Indians in the curriculum |
| schedule for revising | | | distinct and unique | AND |
| curriculum standards at | | | cultural heritages | |
| east every five years or | | | and contemporary | A schedule for revising |
| consistent with the | | | portrayal of | curriculum standards at |
| state's standards | | | American Indians in | least every five years or |
| evision schedule? | | | the curriculum | consistent with the state's |

DEFINITIONS

"Assessment" means the gathering, organizing, and evaluation of information about student learning progression, growth, and proficiency in order to monitor and measure the effectiveness of the instructional program.

"**Proficiency-based learning**" means systems of instruction, assessment, and academic reporting that are based on students demonstrating that they have learned the knowledge and skills as outlined in the state content standards.

"Learning Model" means the learning experiences students engage in and teachers facilitate that are aligned to the desired attributes of the graduate profile

"**Student growth**" means changes in student learning as measured from one point in time to another as determined by state or local measures, or both. The federal accountability system uses a growth model to demonstrate student learning across time as measured by statewide assessments.

DEFINITIONS

"Learning progression" means the specific performance expectations in each content area and grade level or grade band from kindergarten through grade 12.

"**Proficient**" means that a student demonstrated a level of knowledge and skills that are expected to be learned signaling that a student is well prepared to progress on the learning continuum aligned to the content standards, learning progressions, and necessary readiness skills.

"**Measure"** means a way to assess the level of proficiency on a performance indicator aligned to the district graduate profile

Key components of proficiency-based learning models include but are not limited to:

- **Clear Learning Outcomes:** Defined and measurable learning standards that articulate what students need to know and demonstrate at each proficiency level.
- **Mastery-Based Progression:** Students advance only after demonstrating mastery of specific skills or knowledge, regardless of time spent in class or age.
- **Personalized Learning:** Instruction tailored to individual student needs, allowing them to progress at their own pace.
- **Continuous Assessment:** Regular and varied assessments (formative and summative) focused on demonstrating learning rather than traditional grades.
- **Targeted Support:** Interventions and resources to address learning gaps, ensuring all students have opportunities to succeed.
- Flexible Pacing: Schedules that adapt to the learning speed of each student, enabling deep understanding without the constraints of rigid timelines.



Key components continued:

- **Transparency:** Students, families, and educators understand expectations, progress metrics, and what mastery looks like at every stage.
- **Equity Focus:** Systems designed to ensure that all students, regardless of background or ability, can meet high academic standards.
- **Student Ownership:** Opportunities for students to take responsibility for their learning through goal-setting, reflection, and self-assessment.
- **Competency-Aligned Instruction:** Curriculum, teaching methods, and resources aligned to the defined competencies or standards.
- Collaboration and Stakeholder Engagement: Input and buy-in from educators, administrators, students, and community members in developing and refining the model.



Types of Evidence

- **SAMPLE EVIDENCE MAY INCLUDE** A single document may include all of the criteria/sample evidence needed to receive a regular (4) score.
- Developed Assessment Plan Sample Evidence May Include plan district has developed
- Progression, Growth, and Proficiency Sample Evidence May Include NOT STUDENT PERFORMANCE DATA – tools AND/OR reports AND/OR systems that track if progression, growth and proficiency is taking place (Elementary and Middle Schools – Review evidence of <u>tools</u> submitted for Student Performance Rubrics A & B from Part 1)
- More than 1 Measure of Student Progress Sample Evidence May Include common assessments AND/OR ongoing assessments AND/OR teacher-made assessments AND/OR district assessments AND/OR state assessments AND/OR teaching strategies (formative assessments)

Discussion:

• What examples of evidence demonstrate that learning outcomes are clearly defined, measurable, and accessible to all students?

- How do you document that students only advance after demonstrating mastery of essential skills or knowledge?
- What evidence shows that learning experiences are personalized to meet individual student needs and pacing?
- How can you demonstrate that targeted support or flexible pacing effectively helps students achieve proficiency?



• Key Reflection Questions for Districts

- 1. How does our current system ensure that learning outcomes are clearly defined, measurable, and aligned with mastery-based progression rather than seat time or age?
- 2. What strategies and instructional practices are in place to ensure students demonstrate mastery of content before advancing to more complex material?
- **3.** How are we personalizing learning experiences to accommodate individual student paces while maintaining high academic expectations and rigor?
- **4.** In what ways are continuous assessments integrated into our system to accurately measure student progress and inform instruction, promoting accountability and deeper understanding?
- 5. How are educators, administrators, and community stakeholders
 collaboratively involved in the development, implementation, and ongoing refinement of our proficiency-based learning model?

- (4) For content standards in all program areas pursuant to the requirements of ARM 10.55.602, school districts shall:
 - (c) review materials and resources necessary for implementation of the curriculum and assessment at least every five years, or consistent with the state's standards revision schedule that are consistent with the goals of the integrated strategic action plan pursuant to ARM 10.55.601; and



| CONTENT STANDARD AREA | 2022 | 2023 | | | 2024 | | | | 2025 | | 2026 | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| English Language Proficiency | | Research and Review | Revisions | Negotiated Rulemaking | | l of Public Ed ation Process | | sional Lec ew Stando | | Districts Implement July 2025 | | |
| World Languages | | Research and Review | Revision | S Rulem | | | of Public Ed ion Process | | Professional Learning on New Standards | | | Districts Implement July 2026 |
| Mathematics | Resear Rev | | Revisions | Negotia Rulemal | | | | | Professional Learning on New Standards | | | Districts Implement July 2026 |
| English Language Arts & Literacy | | | | | earch c Review | Povi | | Negotiated Rulemaking | | | ssional Learning on Iew Standards | Districts Implement July 2026 |

Content Standards Revisions schedules are determined by the Montana Board of Public Education and are subject to change.

CONSIDERATIONS FOR CURRICULUM ALIGNMENT:

Content Standards Currently in Revision:

- English Language Proficiency Standards:
 - Aligned with WIDA Framework for English Language Proficiency
 - No change from previous set of standards
 - Implementation July 2025

STANDARDS REVISION INFORMATION

• World Languages Standards:

- First update since 1999
- Aligned with American Council of Foreign Languages (ACTFL) and intentional integration of Indian Education For All (IEFA)
- Implementation July 2026

Math Content Standards

- K-8 standards are largely aligned to 2011 CCSS standards, emphasizing early numeracy and strategic integration of IEFA. Rewrite of the K-12 Mathematical Practices
- Significant overhaul of 9-12 standards, focusing on essential standards for mathematical proficiency at graduation
- Curriculum mapping and resource review needed for alignment with revised standards
- Implementation July 2026

• English Language Arts and Literacy Content Standards

- First update since the 2011 Common Core State Standards Alignment
- $\,\circ\,$ Current Implementation July 2026, potential to be postponed to July 2027

• Alignment with Evolving Standards: Ensures curriculum stays current with updated state standards and research-based best practices.

- **Support for High-Quality Instruction:** Provides educators with updated tools to deliver effective instruction and assessments aligned with student needs.
- **Responsiveness to Student Needs and Equity:** Reflects changing student needs, incorporating diverse cultural perspectives and ensuring accessibility for all learners.
- Strategic Goal Alignment: Aligns curriculum with the district's integrated strategic action plan, promoting cohesive and targeted educational priorities.
- Innovation and Modernization: Fosters the adoption of new tools, technologies, and methods to improve student engagement and outcomes.
- **Proactive Curriculum Management:** Establishes a routine review cycle to avoid reactive overhauls and promote continuous improvement.

CURRICULUM ALIGNMENT – IEFA

Key Considerations for ARM 10.55.603(d): review curricula and instructional materials and resources to ensure the inclusion of the distinct and unique cultural heritages and contemporary portrayal of American Indians.

- **Cultural Representation:** Review curricula for inclusion of American Indian heritage and contemporary contributions.
- **Collaboration and Input**: Engage Local Tribes, IEFA Team, educators, and cultural experts to guide updates.
- **Curriculum Integration:** Incorporate American Indian content across subjects; *audit* current implementation against standards to address gaps.
- Internal Review Process: Establish a regular schedule for curriculum review and revision that includes IEFA components.
- **Educator Support:** Provide training and resources for accurate and respectful instruction for implementation of the curricula.
- **Equity Focus:** Avoid stereotypes; promote balanced, authentic portrayals of American Indian cultures.
- **Publisher Limitations:** Recognize that most publishers do not embed IEFA, requiring additional review and supplementation of materials.



Considerations for Curriculum Alignment:

- **Timeliness**: Ensure revisions are completed on schedule, either every five years or in alignment with state updates.
- **Alignment**: Maintain consistency between district curriculum, state standards, inclusion of American Indians, and the integrated strategic action plan.
- Accountability: Clearly define roles and responsibilities for those involved in the review and update process.
- **Stakeholder Engagement**: Actively involve educators, administrators, and community members to gather diverse perspectives.
- **Documentation**: Keep detailed records of all revisions, meetings, and updates to ensure transparency and compliance.



Evidence Checklist for Schools

1. Curriculum Alignment and Updates

- Documentation of curriculum aligned with current state standards and IEFA initiatives.
- D Published revision timelines and records of completed updates.

2. Support for Instruction and Inclusion

- Dupdated instructional resources and assessment tools reflecting diverse and inclusive practices.
- Evidence of professional development on new materials and strategies.

3. Strategic Alignment with District Goals

- Integrated strategic action plan showing curriculum alignment with district priorities.
- 🗆 Records of collaboration between stakeholders during the review process.

4. Proactive Review Process

- District-wide curriculum review calendar aligned with state schedules.
- Documentation of review meetings, outcomes, and updates implemented.

What else could be utilized as evidence to demonstrate efforts toward curriculum alignment?

• Key Reflection Questions for Districts



- 1. How does our current system ensure that learning outcomes are clearly defined, measurable, and aligned with mastery-based progression rather than seat time or age?
- 2. What strategies and instructional practices are in place to ensure students demonstrate mastery of content before advancing to more complex material?
- 3. How are we personalizing learning experiences to accommodate individual student paces while maintaining high academic expectations and rigor?
- 4. in what ways are continuous assessments integrated into our system to accurately measure student progress and inform instruction, promoting accountability and deeper understanding?
- 5. How are educators, administrators, and community stakeholders collaboratively involved in the development, implementation, and ongoing refinement of our proficiency-based learning model? What professional learning is needed?

QUESTIONS?

Marie Judisch – Senior Manager of Teaching and Learning marie.judisch@mt.gov

Crystal Andrews – Senior Manager of Accreditation and Licensure crystal.andrews@mt.gov

> Dr. Julie Murgel – Chief Program Officer julie.murgel@mt.gov



20